```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
 1
   United States Attorney
   DENNISE D. WILLETT
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Santa Ana Branch
   LAWRENCE E. KOLE (Cal. Bar No. 141582)
   Assistant United States Attorney
 4
         411 West Fourth Street, Suite 8000
 5
         Santa Ana, California 92701
         Telephone: (714) 338-3594
         Facsimile: (714) 338-3564
 6
         Email: larry.kole@usdoj.gov
 7
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
   United States of America
 8
 9
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                             SOUTHERN DIVISION
12
                                    ) Case No. SA CR 08-180-DOC
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13
                                    ) ORDER CONTINUING
               Plaintiff,
14
                                    ) TRIAL DATE TO APRIL 2, 2013 AT
                                    ) 8:30 A.M. AND REGARDING
15
                                    ) EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIOD UNDER
                                    ) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
    MOSES ONCIU, BEATA GIZELLA
16
    PRIORE, and IRENE PEMKOVA,
17
               Defendants.
18
         The Court has read and considered the Stipulation re
19
20
```

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation re Excludable Time Period under Speedy Trial Act filed by the parties in this matter on November 15, 2012. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that provide good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

2.8

The Court further finds that: (1) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (2) failure to grant the continuance

would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; (3) failure to grant the continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; (4) this continuance results from the unavailability of an essential witness; (5) this continuance is a reasonable period of delay resulting from defendants' joinder with each other for trial, the time for trial of defendants has not run, and no motion for severance has been granted; and (6) this continuance results from the unavailability of essential witnesses.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from November 27, 2012 to April 2, 2013 at 8:30 a.m.
- 2. The time period of November 27, 2012 to April 2, 2013, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(3)(A), (h)(6), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(I), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion

```
24 / / /
```

<sup>25 / / /</sup> 

<sup>26 / / /</sup> 

<sup>27 / / /</sup> 

<sup>28 / / /</sup> 

## of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. Dated: November 15, 2012 Llavid O. Carter Honorable David O. Carter United States District Judge cc: PSA